

**Bay Delta Conservation Plan  
Review Document Comment Form**

**Document:**     **Draft Considerations for Determining the Capacity  
of Isolated Conveyance; Integration Team, February 24, 2009**

**Name:** Phil Harrington     **Affiliation:** City of Antioch

**Date:** February 27, 2009

Please use this form to document your comments to the above document. Please number your comments in the first column and indicate the page, section, and line number (if provided) that reference the comment's location in the review document in the next three columns. **Return completed comment forms to Rick Wilder ([wilderrm@saic.com](mailto:wilderrm@saic.com)) and Pete Rawlings ([rawlingsms@saic.com](mailto:rawlingsms@saic.com)).**

To be of the greatest value to the document development process, please make your comments as specific as possible (e.g., rather than stating that more current information is available regarding a topic, provide the additional information [or indicate where it may be acquired]; rather than indicating that you disagree with a statement, indicate why you disagree with the statement and recommend alternative text for the statement). Do not enter information in the **Disposition** column. This column will be used by SAIC to record how each comment was addressed during the document revision process.

No.	Page #	Section #	Line #	Comment	Disposition
1	All			The City of Antioch concurs with and incorporates by reference the comments of Greg Gartrell of CCWD, dated February 24, 2009.	
2	5			The City of Antioch suggests that the quality of water in the proposed 15,000 cfs canal be evaluated, and that any necessary measures required to maintain the suitability of water in the canal be identified. For example, it is likely that the canal will be unused under certain conditions (e.g., flows as occurred in January and February 2009, which were so low as to preclude use of the canal under currently proposed operating rules). Will water be allowed to stagnate in the canal when the canal is unused? For how long will water "sit" in the canal, and what will happen to the quality of water in the canal? Will it be necessary to maintain some minimum flow rate in the canal in order to prevent undesirable water quality impacts during periods of low use? Would a smaller canal experience lesser impacts, or require less flow-through in order to maintain water quality in the canal itself? How will the canal be operated to manage changing flow rates?	
3	All			There have been discussions during the Steering Committee meetings about taking certain measures to mitigate the impacts of the BDCP to in-delta users. Will those mitigation measures be considered a "covered" activity within the BDCP? What mechanism or criteria will be used to identify impacted or potentially impacted in-delta users? What forms of mitigation will be considered?	

[illegible]